UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,382	03/10/2004	Marian Trinkel	20811/0204770-US0	3246
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 03/09/200 RBY P.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 770	- 4-4*	JACKSON, JAKIEDA R		
Church Street S New York, NY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/797,382	TRINKEL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

		OF ARTEDITY: OF CORCOTT	2020
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPI	Y FILED <u>20 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.
appl appl	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on ication, applicant must timely file one of the following ication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 Cods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing		
, — !	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or a	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions have been t under 37 Cl set forth in (may reduce	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex FR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two months of the date of
filing	the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extect of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
(a)	proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
(c)	They are not deemed to place the application in bef appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a	tter form for appeal by materially rec	
(u)L	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		soled claims.
4. 🔲 The	amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	olicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		,
6. New	vly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate, t	•
how The Clair Clair Clair	purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) the new or amended claims would be rejected is prostatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: n(s) allowed: n(s) objected to: n(s) rejected: n(s) withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an explanation of
	T OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
beca	affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu suse applicant failed to provide a showing of good and not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
ente	affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing red because the affidavit or other evidence failed to coving a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
	e affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio <u>FFOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
	e request for reconsideration has been considered bu e Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
	te the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). her:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
	R Hudspeth/ cory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Ittycheriah does not teach a computer-based audio module that is provided with speech data where the audio module speaks the speech data into the vobulary database so as to expand the vocabulary database in an automated manner. Neither the speech recognition system nor the input device of Ittycheriah speak speech data into a computer-based audio module so as to expand a vocabulary database in an automated manner. However, Ittycheriah teaches a process of expanding vocabulary that uses synthetic speech (i.e. speech is input in an automated manner, not uttered by a speaker). Ittycheriah teaches that the input device 24 can alternatively be a speech synthesizer (column 5, lines 31-35) and the input device is connected to a speech recognizer (explicitly shown in figure 1 and column 6, lines 40-42). Therefore, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.